REMARKS

[00031 Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application. Claims 1-23 are presently pending. Claims

amended herein are 1-3, 7-9, 11, 14-16, 18, 20-23. Claims withdrawn or

cancelled herein are none. New claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

The Examiner graciously talked with me Kasey Christie and Clay [00041

Hagler, on September 11th 2007. The Applicant greatly appreciates the

Examiner's willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of us in our

common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0005] During the interview, I discussed how the claims differed from the

cited art, namely Kramer. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections and in

the interest of expediting prosecution, I also proposed several possible clarifying

amendments.

[0006] The Examiner was receptive to the proposals, and I understood the

Examiner to indicate that the proposed clarifying claim amendments appeared to

distinguish over the cited art of record. For example, the Examiner indicated that

the clarification regarding the discovery element distinguished claims 1, 7, 14, 20

over the cited art. However, the Examiner indicated that he would need to review

the cited art more carefully and/or do another search, and requested that the

proposed amendments be presented in writing,

Serial No.: 10/721,514 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1786US Atty/Agent: Kasey Christie RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

17

lee@haves The Business of IP™ www.keehayes.com E09.324.9258

[0007] The Examiner also indicated that the proposed amendments to the claims appeared to resolve the informalities objected to. He requested that the

proposed amendments be presented in writing.

[0008] The Examiner was receptive to the proposed amendments to the

specification to overcome his section 101 rejection. Again, the Examiner requested

that the proposed amendment be presented in writing.

[0009] The Examiner was receptive to the proposed amendments to the

claims directed toward overcoming the Section 112 indefinitness rejection. The

Examiner requested that the proposed amendments be presented in writing.

[0010] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during

the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0011] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any

outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

may be found on the last page of this response.

[0012] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works

great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

Serial No.: 10/721,514 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1786US

Atty/Agent: Kasey Christie Response to Non-Final Office Action 18 ·

lee@hayes The Business of IP**

www.kohayes.com 509,324.9255

Claim Amendments and Additions

[0013] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the

interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, 14-16,

18, 20-23 herein.

Formal Matters

[0014] This section addresses any formal matters (e.g., objections) raised

by the Examiner.

Claims

[0015] The Examiner objects to claims 1, 7, 14, 20 for issues with clarity.

Herein, Applicant amends these claims, as shown above, to correct the

informalities noted by the Examiner.

[0016] The Examiner accurately notes that "claims 20-23 recite limitations

that are presumed to invoke 35 USC 112, 6^{th} paragraph." The Applicant does so

intend to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph and thanks the Examiner for

highlighting the respective guidelines presented in MPEP 2181.

lee@hayes The Business of IP TH

Serial No.: 10/721,514 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1786US Atty/Agent: Kasey Christie RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION **Substantive Matters**

Claim Rejections under § 112

[0017] Claims 2-3, 8-9, 15-16, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

2nd paragraph. In light of the amendments presented herein, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to

withdraw these rejections.

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0018] Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. In light of the

amendments presented herein, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims

comply with the patentability requirements of § 101 and that the § 101 rejections

should be withdrawn. The Applicant further asserts that these claims are

allowable. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these

rejections.

[0019] If the Examiner maintains the rejection of these claims, then the

Applicant requests additional guidance as to what is necessary to overcome the

rejection.

20

Claim Rejections under § 102

[0020] Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102. In light of the amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the above-discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Dependent Claims 2-6, 8-13, 15-19, 21-23

[0021] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

[0022] All pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action**. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 10-12-07

By:

Kasey Christie Reg. No.40,559 (509) 324-9256 x232 kasey@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehaves.com